

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

aSB249
.A3

ENTERED IN THE COMPUTER

✓ 9/13/82 ✓

COTTON

VARIETIES PLANTED

1982 CROP



AUG 18 2012

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service Cotton Division
Memphis, Tennessee
August 1982

CONTENTS

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
	Introduction	1
	Leading Varieties.	1
1.	Average fiber test results for varieties of cotton grown commercially in the United States, crop of 1981	2
2.	Varieties by states and United States, crop of 1982.	3
	Varieties planted by marketing services office areas	
3.	Abilene Marketing Services Office.	4
4.	Altus Marketing Services Office.	4
5.	Austin Marketing Services Office	5
6.	Bakersfield Marketing Services Office.	5
7.	Birmingham Marketing Services Office	6
8.	Corpus Christi Marketing Services Office	6
9.	Dallas Marketing Services Office	7
10.	El Centro Marketing Services Office.	7
11.	El Paso Marketing Services Office.	8
12.	Florence Marketing Services Office	9
13.	Fresno Marketing Services Office	9
14.	Greenwood Marketing Services Office.	10
15.	Harlingen Marketing Services Office.	10
16.	Hayti Marketing Services Office.	11
17.	Lamesa Marketing Services Office	11
18.	Lubbock Marketing Services Office.	12
19.	Little Rock Marketing Services Office.	13
20.	Macon Marketing Services Office.	13
21.	Memphis Marketing Services Office.	14
22.	Phoenix Marketing Services Office.	15
23.	Winnsboro Marketing Services Office.	15
24.	Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1981-1982.	16
25.	Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by Marketing Services Office areas, crop of 1982.	16

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
 AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
 COTTON DIVISION - MARKET NEWS SECTION
 4841 SUMMER AVENUE - MEMPHIS, TN 38122
 Telephone 901-521-2934

Cotton Varieties Planted, United States
1982 crop

Stoneville was the leading variety of upland cotton planted in the United States during the 1982-83 season, according to the Cotton Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA. Stoneville accounted for 21 percent of the national acreage. Deltapine was the second most popular variety planted, accounting for 16 percent of the acreage. Acala, at 14 percent, was the third most popular variety. Tamcot was the next most popular variety at 10 percent of the acreage, followed by Lankart at eight percent and Paymaster at five percent of the U. S. acreage.

Stoneville was the leading variety of upland cotton planted in the United States in 1982. Stoneville accounted for 21 percent of the acreage planted and was up from 16 percent last season. Stoneville was the leading variety planted in Alabama, Arkansas, Mississippi, Missouri and Tennessee and was the second leading variety in Arizona and Louisiana. The most popular Stoneville strain was Stoneville 825 which accounted for 16 percent of the U. S. acreage.

Deltapine was the second most popular variety planted in 1982 and accounted for 16 percent of the U. S. acreage. This was the same percentage as a year earlier. Deltapine was the leading variety planted in Arizona and Louisiana and was the second most popular variety in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Mississippi and Missouri. Deltapine 41 and Deltapine 61 were the two strains most often planted, each accounting for five percent of the U. S. acreage.

The next most popular variety was Acala, accounting for 14 percent of the U. S. acreage and up from 13 percent in 1981. Acala was the leading variety planted in California and New Mexico. Acala SJ-2 was the leading strain planted in California and accounted for 12 percent of the U. S. acreage. The leading strain in New Mexico was Acala 1517-75.

The fourth most popular variety planted in the U. S. was Tamcot which made up 10 percent of the acreage. In 1981, Tamcot accounted for nine percent of the acreage. Tamcot was the leading variety planted in Texas. Tamcot SP-21, at four percent of the U. S. acreage, was the most popular Tamcot strain.

Lankart was the fifth most popular upland variety planted in 1982. Lankart accounted for eight percent of the U. S. acreage and was the most popular variety planted in Oklahoma. Lankart was one of the two second most popular varieties planted in Texas. Lankart 611 was the most popular Lankart strain. Lankart made up 10 percent of the 1981 acreage.

Paymaster was the sixth most popular variety planted. Paymaster accounted for five percent of the U. S. acreage, down from nine percent in 1981. Paymaster was the second most popular variety in New Mexico and was one of the two second most popular varieties in Texas. Paymaster 303 and Paymaster 792 were the most popular strains of Paymaster.

Pima S-5 remained the most popular strain of American Pima cotton planted in the United States. Pima S-5 made up about 91 percent of the 1982 acreage planted, down from 99 percent a year earlier. Pima P-34 was the second most planted strain in 1982. This strain accounted for nine percent of the acreage in 1982 and was up from one percent a year earlier.

Estimates of the percentage of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1982 by counties, marketing services office areas and states are presented in this report. The variety data are based primarily on estimates furnished by cotton ginner for the territories served by their gins.

Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States,
1978-1982

Year	Acala	Delta- pine	Lankart	Pay- master	Stone- ville	Tamcot	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
1978	13	18	14	7	18	5	25	100
1979	13	15	13	8	15	7	29	100
1980	10	15	10	7	15	7	36	100
1981	13	16	10	9	16	9	27	100
1982	14	16	8	5	21	10	26	100

August 10, 1982

Table 1. Average fiber test results for varieties of cotton grown commercially
in the United States, crop of 1981 1/

Variety	Sampling offices	Lots tested	Staple length	Micro- naire <u>2/</u>	Fiber strength <u>3/</u>		Fiber length <u>4/</u>	
					Zero gage	1/8"-gage	2.5% span	50/2.5 uniformity
	No.	No.	32d in.	Rdg.	Mpsi	G/tex	In.	Pct.
G & P 3755	1	2	31	34	86	21	0.97	42
G & P 3774	1	2	31	39	87	22	0.97	43
GSA 71	3	6	31	32	78	21	0.99	44
Lankart 57	5	10	31	42	84	21	0.97	45
Lankart 611	3	6	31	39	82	21	0.98	44
Lankart LX 571	3	6	31	40	86	22	0.97	44
Western 44	2	4	31	41	84	21	0.96	44
Lockett 77	1	2	32	38	92	23	0.99	44
Paymaster 303	3	6	32	33	81	21	1.01	43
Paymaster 404	1	2	32	32	78	21	0.99	44
Stripper 31	1	2	32	34	80	24	0.99	45
Stripper 32	1	2	32	33	85	23	1.03	44
Tamcot SP 21	4	8	32	36	81	21	0.99	44
Tamcot SP 37	3	7	32	38	80	21	1.01	43
Westburn M	1	2	32	33	84	23	1.00	42
Coker 312	1	2	33	33	81	22	1.01	42
Paymaster 145	1	1	33	43	80	22	1.03	45
Paymaster 202	1	2	33	30	80	23	1.04	44
Tamcot SP 37H	1	3	33	39	80	23	1.07	43
Coker 304	3	5	34	48	86	23	1.10	45
Deltapine 41	6	11	34	45	89	24	1.09	44
Deltapine 55	5	11	34	46	87	23	1.07	44
DES 56	1	2	34	51	92	24	1.06	45
Dixie King III	1	2	34	47	82	22	1.05	46
G & P 3774	1	3	34	40	79	22	1.08	44
Stoneville 256	1	3	34	44	86	23	1.08	43
TPSA 9070	1	1	34	43	86	23	1.09	42
Coker 310	3	6	35	46	83	23	1.12	45
Deltapine 61	10	20	35	47	87	24	1.09	44
Deltapine 120	1	2	35	52	90	24	1.05	46
Deltapine 732	1	2	35	45	90	24	1.12	42
Dunn 119	1	2	35	31	85	25	1.06	43
McNair 220	2	5	35	41	87	24	1.10	45
McNair 235	1	2	35	49	90	25	1.12	46
Stoneville 213	9	19	35	46	85	23	1.08	44
Stoneville 506	2	4	35	48	89	23	1.10	44
Stoneville 825	14	30	35	47	89	23	1.08	44
Tamcot CAMD E	1	1	35	46	87	23	1.13	45
TPSA 1633	1	1	35	42	83	22	1.13	44
Acala SJ 2	6	12	36	42	97	26	1.12	46
Acala SJ 5	4	8	36	43	98	26	1.11	45
Coker 315	2	4	36	45	85	24	1.11	45
Vail 7	1	2	36	42	88	23	1.11	43
Acala 1517-75	1	2	38	38	91	26	1.19	46

1/ Compiled from "Summary of Cotton Fiber and Spinning Test Results, Crop of 1981", USDA, AMS, Cotton Division, June 1982.

2/ Micronaire reading is a measure of fiber fineness and maturity. This test measures resistance of a plug of cotton to air flow.

3/ Fiber strength indicates ability of cotton fibers to withstand force or tension, and has a strong bearing on spinning utility. Zero gage fiber strength test made without a spacer between clamp jaws using Pressley flat bundle tester. 1/8" gage strength test made with 1/8" spacer between clamp jaws using stalometer and results are adjusted to the Pressley level.

4/ Digital Fibrograph 2.5% span length indicates length spanned by 2.5 percent of the fibers when they are parallel and randomly distributed. This measure is closely related to staple length designations. Digital Fibrograph 50/2.5 uniformity ratio values indicate relative uniformity of fiber length in samples.

Table 2. Estimated percentage of cotton planted to specified varieties, by states and United States, crop of 1982

Variety	AL	AZ	AR	CA	GA	LA	MS	MO	NM	NC	OK	SC	TN	TX	All 1/ other	U.S.
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Acala 1517 E-1	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Acala 1517 E-2	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Acala 1517-75	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Acala 1517-77	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Acala SJ-2	-	-	-	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	12
Acala SJ-5	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Blightmaster A-5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	1
Cascot B-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	-	2	3	1
Cascot BR-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	*	-	*
Cascot L-7	-	2	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	1	-	-	2	1	1
Coker 304	*	-	*	-	20	-	-	4	-	17	-	22	-	*	-	1
Coker 310	1	-	*	-	15	-	*	3	-	27	-	20	*	*	-	1
Coker 312	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Coker 315	*	*	-	-	10	-	-	*	-	3	-	41	-	-	-	1
Coker 3131	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Coker 5110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Delcot 311	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Deltapine 16	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	*
Deltapine 26	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	*
Deltapine 41	18	4	19	*	1	23	13	6	-	1	2	1	4	1	39	5
Deltapine 55	3	5	4	-	3	21	12	14	-	-	-	-	5	1	11	4
Deltapine 61	11	31	9	5	1	8	9	6	-	-	2	1	2	*	24	5
Deltapine 62-8200111	-	21	-	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Deltapine 70	-	16	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	1
Deltapine 90 8100143	*	5	-	*	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	*
Deltapine 120 8100077	-	8	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Deltapine SR-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	1	-	*
Deltapine SR-5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
DES 56	*	-	*	-	-	*	8	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	1
DES 422	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Dixie King III	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	*
Dunn 119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	2	-	1
Dunn 120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	2	-	1
Dunn 219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	1	-	*
Earlycot 31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	*	-	-	*	-	*
Earlybird G&P 3755	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	*	-	*
Earlybird G&P 3774	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	3	-	2
Gregg 45M	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Growers GSA-71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	5	-	2
Growers GSA-74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	*	-	*
Growers GSA-78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Hancock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	*
Hurdt 580	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	*	-	*
Lambright X-15-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Lankart 57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	-	4	-	3
Lankart 611	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	7	-	4
Lankart LX-571	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	2	-	1
Lockett 77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	1
McNair 220	*	*	*	-	23	-	*	*	2	20	*	2	-	1	-	1
McNair 230 2,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
McNair 235	5	*	2	-	9	*	2	*	2	30	-	8	11	*	-	1
McNair 308	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Paymaster 18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Paymaster 145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	1
Paymaster 266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Paymaster 303	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	4	-	2
Paymaster 404	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
Paymaster 792	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	*	-	-	4	-	2
Pioneer PR-80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	*
Quality Seed 137	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Quapaw	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	2	-	1
Rilcot 90A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	*	-	-	*	-	*
SC-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	*
Stoneville 213	5	1	16	-	1	6	7	9	*	-	2	-	17	2	-	4
Stoneville 256	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Stoneville 302	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	*	-	*
Stoneville 506	-	*	4	-	-	2	1	4	3	-	*	-	1	*	-	1
Stoneville 825	56	3	45	*	14	39	46	48	*	-	1	-	58	3	-	16
Stripper 31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Tamcot CAMD-E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	2	-	-	5	-	3
Tamcot SP21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	8	-	4
Tamcot SP21S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	3	-	1
Tamcot SP23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	*	-	*
Tamcot SP37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	3	-	1
Tamcot SP37H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1
Vail 7	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Westburn M	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	*	-	1
Western SP-44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	*
All other 2/	*	3	*	*	1	*	1	1	3	2	7	2	-	12	*	7
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

1/ Includes Florida, Kansas and Nevada.

2/ Includes all varieties accounting for less than 0.5 percent and all varieties not listed.

Table 3. Abilene Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Blight-master A-5	Casco B-2	Casco L-7	G & P 3774	Lank-art 57	Lank-art LX-571	Lank-art 611	Lock-ett 77	Tamcot CAMD-E	Tamcot SP-21	Tamcot SP-21S	Tamcot SP-37	Western Storm-proof	West-ern SP-44	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Texas:																
Fisher	-	2	-	-	-	-	5	-	2	59	16	-	-	3	13	100
Haskell	-	2	9	22	7	10	23	8	3	-	-	-	-	-	16	100
Howard 1/	8	14	10	-	-	-	-	-	1	29	-	17	-	-	21	100
Jones	-	-	-	3	7	15	63	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	9	100
Knox	-	13	2	1	3	7	26	-	11	8	3	-	-	-	26	100
Martin 1/	43	-	1	-	-	-	-	6	-	18	2	-	13	-	17	100
Mitchell	-	-	-	-	2	-	8	-	-	16	2	39	-	17	16	100
Runnells	-	-	-	23	11	4	19	-	-	33	*	4	-	-	6	100
Scurry 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	34	12	4	-	32	8	100
Tom Green	-	-	12	16	3	-	9	-	-	18	22	2	-	-	18	100
All other	3	*	4	9	4	3	16	1	3	24	5	5	1	1	21	100
Office Total	5	2	4	7	3	3	17	2	2	22	6	6	1	4	16	100

1/ A portion of this county is served by Lamesa Marketing Services Office.

2/ A portion of this county is served by Lubbock Marketing Services Office.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 4. Altus Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Casco B-2	Coker 5110	Dunn 120	G & P 3755	G & P 3774	Lank-art 57	Lank-art LX-571	Lank-art 611	Lock-ett 77	Lock-ett 4789-A	Pay-master 145	Tamcot CAMD-E	Tamcot SP-37	West-burn M	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Oklahoma:																
Beckham	-	-	-	-	-	31	4	31	-	-	2	-	-	10	22	100
Caddo	8	-	-	-	-	33	8	17	5	-	3	2	-	14	10	100
Grady	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	58	-	-	4	-	-	5	25	100
Greer	6	-	-	1	-	63	-	2	2	-	-	2	-	6	18	100
Harmon	9	-	-	-	-	15	2	1	4	-	-	-	-	11	58	100
Jackson	1	-	-	4	3	18	1	11	1	-	1	-	-	21	39	100
Kiowa	5	-	-	-	11	24	1	38	1	-	1	-	-	6	13	100
Tillman	2	-	1	3	2	57	11	4	-	-	4	4	1	*	11	100
Washita	14	-	-	-	-	19	2	34	2	-	-	1	-	14	14	100
All other	1	-	-	-	-	24	15	51	-	-	1	1	-	5	2	100
Total	4	-	*	2	2	34	6	18	1	-	2	2	*	9	20	100
Texas:																
Brisco	-	-	36	-	-	-	11	19	-	-	17	1	*	-	16	100
Childress	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	2	9	11	-	-	-	32	100
Collingsworth	2	5	-	-	-	7	3	5	13	*	-	20	-	4	41	100
Cottle	-	-	-	-	3	7	5	13	-	1	29	-	4	-	38	100
Hall	-	*	-	-	-	6	-	27	2	11	18	2	1	-	33	100
Hardeman	-	-	-	-	-	15	3	20	28	-	-	2	9	-	23	100
Motley	-	-	10	1	3	-	-	39	-	-	*	18	9	-	20	100
Wilbarger	-	13	-	6	19	16	8	12	-	-	-	-	-	11	15	100
All other	1	-	-	5	-	22	9	18	6	-	1	19	3	-	16	100
Total	*	2	3	2	3	9	4	22	4	3	8	8	3	2	27	100
Office Total 1/	2	1	2	2	3	19	5	20	3	2	6	5	2	5	23	100

1/ Includes small acreages in Kansas.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 5. Austin Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Cascot B-2	Delta- pine 16	Delta- pine 41	Delta- pine 55	Delta- pine 61	G & P 3774	Lank- art 57	Lank- art LX-571	Lank- art 611	Stone- ville 213	Stone- ville 825	Tamcot CAMD-E	Tamcot SP-215	Tamcot SP-37H	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Texas:																
Bell	17	-	-	-	-	6	56	7	6	-	-	1	-	1	6	100
Brazos	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	22	71	-	-	-	4	100
Falls	27	-	-	-	-	40	4	4	-	-	-	18	-	-	7	100
Fort Bend	-	24	-	17	17	-	-	-	-	31	4	-	-	-	7	100
McLennan	-	-	-	-	-	17	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100
Milam	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	40	25	15	5	100
Robertson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56	37	-	2	-	5	100
Williamson	10	-	-	-	-	6	25	25	3	-	-	6	2	2	21	100
Zavala	-	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	-	1	-	-	-	100
All other	1	5	*	5	8	-	9	10	2	18	18	6	5	6	7	100
Office Total	5	5	2	4	4	5	15	10	1	19	11	6	3	3	7	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 6. Bakersfield Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Acala SJ-2	Acala SJ-5	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
---------------------	---------------	---------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

1/ A portion of this county is served by Fresno Marketing Services Office.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 7. Birmingham Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Coker 304	Coker 310	Coker 315	Delta-pine 16	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	Delta-pine 90	McNair 220	McNair 235	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 825	---	---	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Alabama:																
Cherokee	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	21	-	73	-	-	-	100
Colbert	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	13	85	-	-	-	100
Dallas	-	3	-	-	34	-	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Elmore	-	-	-	-	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	100
Lauderdale	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	96	-	-	-	100
Lawrence	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	1	2	84	-	-	-	100
Limestone	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	9	-	90	-	-	-	100
Limestone	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	22	74	-	-	-	100
Madison	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	5	22	-	-	*	100
All other	*	3	1	2	26	10	22	*	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	*	1	*	1	18	3	11	*	*	5	5	56	-	-	*	100
Florida:																
Total	-	-	-	-	44	13	27	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office Total	*	1	*	1	19	4	12	1	*	5	5	52	-	-	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 8. Corpus Christi Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Cascot L-7	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	G & P 3755	G & P 3774	Pioneer PR-80	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 506	Stone-ville 825	Tamcot CAMD-E	Tamcot SP-21	Tamcot SP-21S	Tamcot SP-37	Tamcot SP-37H	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Texas:																
Nueces	2	-	-	2	22	1	-	-	-	16	-	9	20	23	5	100
San Patricio	-	-	-	-	7	2	-	-	-	8	2	8	21	48	4	100
Wharton	-	26	8	-	4	-	9	5	40	1	-	-	-	1	6	100
All other	-	21	5	-	13	-	-	2	10	8	-	-	10	24	7	100
Office Total	1	5	1	1	13	1	1	1	5	10	1	7	17	31	5	100

Table 9. Dallas Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

[illegible]

Table 10. El Centro Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Delta-pine 30	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 61	Delta-pine 62	Delta-pine 70	Delta-pine 90	Delta-pine 120	Stoneville 825	---	Pct.	---	Pct.	---	Pct.	---	Pct.	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.										Pct.
California:																		
Imperial	2	*	83	1	12	*	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Riverside	-	*	82	-	14	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	1	*	83	*	13	2	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Arizona:																		
Yuma 1/	*	6	66	15	13	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	*	6	66	15	13	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office Total	1	3	75	6	13	1	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1/ A portion of this county is served by Phoenix Marketing Services Office.																		
* Less than 0.5 percent.																		

Table 11. El Paso Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Acala 1517-75	Acala 1517-77	Acala 1517-E-1	Acala 1517-E-2	Cascot B-2	Cascot 1-7	Coker 310	Coker 312	Coker 4360	Delta-pine 90	Dunn 219	McNair 220	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 825	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Texas:																
El Paso	20	23	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	6	-	21	100
All other	1	-	-	-	6	6	7	6	15	-	6	9	14	3	27	100
Total	3	3	*	*	5	5	6	5	14	-	5	11	13	2	28	100
New Mexico:																
Chaves	23	-	25	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	36	100
Dona Ana	74	*	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	7	-	-	16	100
Eddy	27	16	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	45	100
Luna	62	6	5	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	2	3	100
All other	77	-	1	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	14	100
Total	51	4	9	8	-	-	-	*	-	-	2	3	*	*	23	100
Arizona:																
Cochise	11	1	1	6	-	37	-	6	-	14	-	2	8	6	8	100
All other	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	41	20	100
Total	10	1	1	4	-	26	-	4	-	20	-	1	5	17	11	100
Office Total																
	22	3	4	4	2	8	2	3	5	5	3	6	6	5	22	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 12. Florence Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Coker 304	Coker 310	Coker 315	Delta-pine 26	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 61	DES 56	McNair 220	McNair 235	SC-1	----	Pct.	----	Pct.	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>North Carolina:</u>																
Cleveland	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
Halifax	16	19	3	-	1	-	-	35	24	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
Northampton	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	52	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	100
Scotland	-	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
All other	27	35	3	-	1	-	-	5	29	-	-	-	-	-	*	100
Total	17	27	3	-	1	-	-	20	30	-	-	-	-	-	*	100
<u>South Carolina:</u>																
Calhoun	5	8	66	15	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100
Dillon	25	17	25	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lee	35	14	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Marlboro	27	41	6	-	2	4	2	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	4	100
Orangeburg	31	23	29	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	5	100
Sumter	8	12	57	8	-	-	-	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
All other	13	21	53	-	1	-	-	4	3	4	-	-	-	-	1	100
Total	22	20	41	2	1	1	*	2	8	1	-	-	-	-	2	100
Office Total	20	24	24	1	1	*	*	10	18	*	-	-	-	-	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 13. Fresno Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Acala SJ-2	Acala SJ-5	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	Pct.	----	Pct.	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>California:</u>																
Fresno	85	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	100
Kings 1/	80	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	75	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	53	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare 1/	60	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office Total	78	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	100

1/ A portion of this county is served by Bakersfield Marketing Services Office.
* less than 0.5 percent.

Table 14. Greenwood Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Coker 310	Delta- pine 41	Delta- pine 55	Delta- pine 61	Delta- pine NSL	DES 56	DES 422	McNair 220	McNair 235	Stone- ville 213	Stone- ville 506	Stone- ville 825	----	----	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>Mississippi:</u>																
Bolivar	-	69	3	8	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	100
Coahoma	-	3	5	-	-	19	-	-	-	30	-	43	-	-	-	100
Hinds	-	-	2	2	-	3	-	-	2	38	-	53	-	-	-	100
Holmes	-	9	4	6	-	3	-	-	-	14	-	63	-	-	1	100
Humphreys	-	-	17	22	-	-	-	-	6	11	6	38	-	-	-	100
Leflore	-	1	21	9	-	9	1	-	1	6	-	52	-	-	-	100
Madison	-	3	58	22	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	-	-	100
Panola 1/	-	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	62	-	-	1	100
Quitman	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	69	-	-	4	100
Sharkey	-	30	26	17	-	26	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Sunflower	-	-	-	-	-	10	12	-	1	6	-	68	-	-	3	100
Tallahatchie	-	22	-	-	-	34	1	-	-	-	-	43	-	-	-	100
Tunica	-	-	47	8	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	100
Washington	-	15	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	15	12	52	-	-	-	100
Yazoo	-	9	5	22	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	63	-	-	1	100
All other	1	18	13	20	*	7	-	2	1	11	-	24	-	-	3	100
Office Total	*	14	10	10	*	9	1	*	1	8	1	45	-	-	1	100

1/ A portion of this county is served by Memphis Marketing Services Office.
* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 15. Harlingen Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Delta- pine 41	Delta- pine 55	Delta- pine 70	Delta- pine NSL	Dunn 120	G & P 3774	McNair 220	Rio 875	Stone- ville 213	Stone- ville 256	Stone- ville 506	Stone- ville 825	Tamcot CAMD-E	TPSA 9070	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>Texas:</u>																
Cameron	24	6	2	*	1	10	7	*	12	3	1	32	*	2	*	100
Hidalgo	2	11	-	-	-	1	21	1	31	3	*	25	-	4	1	100
Willacy	15	*	-	*	-	2	*	-	22	-	*	54	4	*	3	100
All other	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	-	23	-	-	17	-	-	-	100
Office Total	16	5	1	*	*	6	8	*	19	2	*	38	2	2	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 16. Hayti Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Coker 304	Coker 310	Delcot 311	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	McNair 235	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 506	Stone-ville 825	Tamcot CAMD-E 7	---	---	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>Missouri:</u>															
Dunklin	4	4	1	8	21	12	*	7	7	36	*	-	-	*	100
New Madrid	5	2	1	7	14	1	-	10	2	48	8	-	-	2	100
Pemiscot	1	-	2	1	-	1	2	12	1	77	*	-	-	3	100
Stoddard	20	9	-	12	20	3	-	8	-	24	-	-	-	4	100
All other	1	5	-	12	1	-	1	1	6	49	20	-	-	4	100
Total	4	3	1	6	14	6	*	9	4	48	3	-	-	2	100
<u>Arkansas:</u>															
Craighead	*	2	*	4	1	2	2	16	13	60	-	-	-	*	100
Mississippi	-	-	-	5	2	3	5	30	3	39	-	-	-	3	100
Poinsett	1	-	-	4	-	-	4	18	2	70	-	-	-	1	100
All other	-	-	-	9	2	*	-	10	8	71	-	-	-	*	100
Total	*	*	*	5	1	2	3	22	6	56	-	-	-	2	100
Office Total	2	2	*	6	8	4	2	14	5	52	1	-	-	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 17. Lamesa Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Blight-master A-5	Dunn 119	Dunn 120	Dunn 219	GSA 71	Lock-ett 77	Lam-bright X-15-4	Pay-master 266	Pay-master 303	Quapaw	Strip-per 31	Tamcot CAMD-E	Tamcot SP-21	Tamcot SP-21S	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>Texas:</u>																
Dawson	16	2	5	1	3	3	3	2	6	2	3	-	18	9	27	100
Gaines	5	2	11	3	9	*	3	1	4	3	6	1	11	1	40	100
Lynn 1/	-	4	7	10	14	3	7	3	2	-	7	20	6	-	17	100
Martin	9	-	-	-	4	4	*	6	4	-	1	-	36	-	36	100
All other	11	3	2	3	7	2	-	*	5	-	1	7	5	1	53	100
Total	9	2	7	3	6	2	3	2	5	2	4	3	13	4	35	100
<u>New Mexico:</u>																
Total	-	22	4	-	-	-	4	4	15	4	4	12	-	-	31	100
Office Total	9	2	7	3	6	2	3	2	5	2	4	3	13	4	35	100

1/ A portion of this county is served by Lubbock Marketing Services Office.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 18. Lubbock Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Cascot L-7	Coker 5110	Dunn 119	Dunn 120	GSA 71	Lank-art 611	Pay-master 145	Pay-master 266	Pay-master 303	Pay-master 404	Pay-master 792	Quapaw	Strip-per 31	Tamcot CAMD-E	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Texas:																
Bailey	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	16	*	7	*	21	26	26	100
Castro	*	-	9	-	1	-	-	-	*	-	48	-	5	20	17	100
Cochran	-	-	2	*	16	-	*	-	12	-	-	7	2	12	49	100
Crosby	5	7	4	-	8	*	1	-	17	1	21	1	1	19	15	100
Dickens	7	3	-	-	-	47	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	33	100
Floyd	8	-	1	-	3	-	-	2	11	7	23	*	-	33	12	100
Garza	1	22	-	1	8	5	7	2	26	13	6	-	-	-	9	100
Hale	2	-	4	1	13	-	2	2	14	2	31	1	3	3	22	100
Hockley	1	*	3	1	20	-	1	1	13	1	7	3	2	15	32	100
Lamb	5	-	6	-	23	-	7	2	9	4	8	2	3	7	24	100
Lubbock	4	*	5	3	9	4	1	7	19	10	6	*	3	5	24	100
Lynn 1/	2	2	2	3	21	*	3	6	9	3	1	3	2	5	38	100
Parmer	-	-	*	-	7	-	2	-	*	*	38	*	1	45	7	100
Swisher	7	-	-	-	28	-	4	-	-	-	16	-	8	21	16	100
Terry	1	1	1	9	16	-	2	4	3	1	2	17	3	1	39	100
Yoakum 1/	11	5	19	*	23	-	-	-	2	*	-	3	5	*	32	100
All other	-	-	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	5	5	-	-	100
Total	3	2	5	2	13	3	2	2	10	3	12	4	3	9	27	100
New Mexico:																
Total 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	21	*	-	-	49	100
Office Total	3	2	5	2	13	3	2	2	10	3	12	4	3	9	27	100

1/ A portion of this county is served by Lamesa Marketing Services Office.

2/ Includes Quay and Roosevelt counties.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 19. Little Rock Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	DES 56	McNair 235	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 506	Stone-ville 825	---	---	---	---	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Arkansas:														
Ashley	37	3	3	-	3	8	5	41	-	-	-	-	-	100
Chicot	31	-	-	2	-	8	5	54	-	-	-	-	-	100
Desha	18	-	3	-	-	19	3	57	-	-	-	-	-	100
Jefferson	14	2	52	-	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	100
LaFayette	48	7	11	-	-	25	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lee	43	-	-	-	-	4	3	50	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lincoln	24	20	20	-	-	24	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lonoke	14	15	15	-	3	9	-	44	-	-	-	-	-	100
Monroe	38	-	20	-	-	15	-	26	-	-	-	-	1	100
Phillips	15	-	6	-	-	31	14	34	-	-	-	-	-	100
St. Francis	35	-	-	-	-	17	-	48	-	-	-	-	-	100
All other	27	28	9	-	-	14	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	100
Office Total	26	5	13	*	1	13	3	39	-	-	-	-	*	100
* Less than 0.5 percent.														

Table 20. Macon Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Coker 304	Coker 310	Coker 315	Coker 3131	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	McNair 220	McNair 235	Quality seed 137	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 825	---	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Georgia:															
Burke	4	43	11	-	4	6	6	4	2	-	-	20	-	-	100
Colquitt	47	-	-	-	-	1	-	26	24	-	-	1	-	1	100
Dooly	29	13	16	4	-	-	-	14	13	-	-	11	-	-	100
Jefferson	6	23	29	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	29	-	-	100
All other	15	13	9	-	1	5	1	30	7	2	1	15	-	1	100
Office Total	20	15	10	1	1	3	1	23	9	1	1	14	-	1	100

Table 21. Memphis Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Coker 310	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	DES 56	Dixie-King 111	Han-cock	McNair 235	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 506	Stone-ville 825	---	---	---	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>Tennessee:</u>																
Crockett	-	-	5	-	-	4	-	5	6	2	78	-	-	-	-	100
Dyer	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	77	-	-	-	-	100
Fayette	-	21	4	3	-	-	2	11	10	-	49	-	-	-	-	100
Gibson	-	-	4	-	-	4	-	24	5	4	59	-	-	-	-	100
Hardeman	-	-	-	11	-	-	10	21	12	-	46	-	-	-	-	100
Haywood	*	*	6	5	-	1	-	4	16	-	68	-	-	-	-	100
Lauderdale	-	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	76	-	-	-	-	100
Madison	4	-	-	4	-	-	-	45	14	-	33	-	-	-	-	100
Shelby	-	23	2	-	-	-	*	17	2	4	52	-	-	-	-	100
Tipton	-	-	13	-	-	-	1	3	78	-	5	-	-	-	-	100
All other	-	-	2	*	-	-	13	2	5	-	78	-	-	-	-	100
Total	*	4	5	2	-	1	1	11	17	1	58	-	-	-	-	100
<u>Arkansas:</u>																
Total	-	56	-	13	-	-	-	-	3	-	28	-	-	-	-	100
<u>Mississippi:</u>																
Calhoun	-	9	11	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	72	-	-	-	-	100
Marshall	-	-	36	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	100
Panola 1/	-	15	20	-	-	-	-	17	5	-	43	-	-	-	-	100
Tate	-	17	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	48	-	-	-	-	100
All other	-	9	13	15	3	-	-	10	1	-	49	-	-	-	*	100
Total	-	10	19	7	2	-	-	10	1	*	51	-	-	-	*	100
Office Total	*	8	10	4	1	1	1	10	11	1	53	-	-	-	*	100

1/ A portion of this county is served by Greenwood Marketing Services Office.

* less than 0.5 percent.

Table 22. Phoenix Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1982

State and County	Delta-pine 30	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	Delta-pine 62	Delta-pine 70	Delta-pine 90	Delta-pine 120	Delta-pine 733	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 506	Stone-ville 825	---	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Arizona:															
Maricopa	-	1	3	24	27	19	4	18	*	2	*	1	-	1	100
Pima	-	2	92	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	100
Pinal	*	10	2	30	28	19	6	-	1	-	-	2	-	2	100
Yuma 1/	-	3	-	58	1	19	3	10	-	1	-	2	-	3	100
All other	-	6	-	27	33	24	4	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	100
Office Total	*	4	6	29	24	19	5	10	*	1	*	1	-	1	100

1/ A portion of this county is served by El Centro Marketing Services Office.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 23. Winnsboro Marketing Services Office: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes, crop of 1982

State and Parish	Delta-pine 25	Delta-pine 26	Delta-pine 41	Delta-pine 45A	Delta-pine 55	Delta-pine 61	Delta-pine 90	DES 56	McNair 235	Stone-ville 213	Stone-ville 506	Stone-ville 825	---	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Louisiana:															
Bossier	-	-	8	-	-	78	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	100
Caddo	-	-	7	-	-	76	-	4	-	-	-	13	-	-	100
Catahoula	-	-	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	100
East Carroll	-	-	17	-	-	4	-	-	-	5	-	74	-	-	100
Franklin	-	-	*	-	11	6	-	-	*	19	-	64	-	-	100
Morehouse	-	2	47	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	6	26	-	-	100
Natchitoches	2	-	52	-	38	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	100
Quachita	-	-	21	-	22	-	-	-	8	18	-	31	-	-	100
Rapides	-	-	24	-	66	-	-	-	-	1	1	8	-	-	100
Richland	-	-	9	-	39	-	5	-	-	-	7	40	-	-	100
Tensas	-	-	68	-	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	100
West Carroll	-	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	17	-	57	-	-	100
All other	-	-	24	1	25	33	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	-	100
Office Total	*	*	23	*	21	8	1	*	*	6	2	39	-	-	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 24. Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1981-1982

State and Year	Pima S-5	Pima P-34	Pima P-38	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>Arizona</u>					
1981	99	1	-	-	100
1982	89	11	-	-	100
<u>New Mexico</u>					
1981	100	-	-	-	100
1982	92	3	5	-	100
<u>Texas</u>					
1981	100	-	-	-	100
1982	95	5	-	-	100
<u>United States 1/</u>					
1981	99	1	-	-	100
1982	91	9	*	-	100

1/ Excludes California.

* Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 25. Estimated percentage of American Pima cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by Marketing Services Office areas, crop of 1982

Marketing Services Office areas and County	Pima S-5	Pima P-34	Pima P-38	Other	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>El Paso</u>					
<u>Arizona:</u>					
Total	90	10	-	-	100
<u>New Mexico:</u>					
Total	92	3	5	-	100
<u>Texas:</u>					
Total	95	5	-	-	100
Office Total	93	6	1	-	100
<u>Phoenix</u>					
<u>Arizona:</u>					
Pinal	97	3	-	-	100
All other	85	15	-	-	100
Office Total	88	12	-	-	100

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY



1022919569